What the ERPSB is, and how it is different from ISB

- •Built upon earlier, ad hoc science panels
 - •ERP committed to science from the outset
 - •1997 Science Panel ("ERP needs science structure")
 - •Strategic Plan for Ecosystem Restoration (1998 +)
 - •Set up process for PSP (e.g.: RFP for funding)
 - Specified key uncertainties
 - •Explained and Promulgated Adaptive Mangement
- •ERPSB set up in 1999/2000)
- •Reports to Director of the ERP (Dan Castleberry)
 - •More hands-on than ISB
 - •Meets frequently, w/ between-meeting work groups
 - Not a FACA committee
- •Roles:
 - •Identify uncertainties that would block plan implementation
 - •Push science and adaptive management
 - •Illuminate touchy issues (fish screens, gravel pits, delta risks)
 - •Help develop process, methodology, admin procedures re. science
 - •Push research on topics that lie at the root of policy questions.

- •Some examples of ongoing work:
 - •Jo. article on Conceptual Modeling, CALFED-wide
 - •Direct participation in DRERIP (Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Plan)
 - •Reworking the "Vision Statement": in the ERP Program Plan to help make it operational and more realistic
 - •Science-based "Vetting" process for actions and milestones
 - •Assisting Lead Scientist in response to CA Legislature's question: "How much water will it take to achieve restoration?"
 - •Hands-on, continuing review of Yolo Bypass, Clear Cr., Tuolumne R., Merced R.
 - •Process for "Incremental projection" (we evaluate investments project-by-project; need to ask, how many will be needed, and can we afford to go this route?) (Restoration-response curve example available).